UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

Debtors.	Hearing Time Requested: 20 Minutes
RMS TITANIC, INC., et al.,1	Case No. 3:16-bk-02230-PMG Chapter 11 (Jointly Administered)
In re:	

DEBTORS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF EXCLUSIVITY

RMS Titanic, Inc. and certain of its affiliates, as Debtors and Debtors in possession in the above-captioned case (collectively, the "<u>Debtors</u>"), by and through its undersigned counsel, request that the Court extend the periods prescribed by Sections 1121(b), 1121(c)(2), and 1121(c)(3) of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. § 101, et seq. (as amended the "<u>Bankruptcy Code</u>"), during which the Debtors have the exclusive right to file a Chapter 11 plan. The Debtors propose that the 120-period prescribed in Section 1121 be extended until January 10, 2017. As grounds for the requested extensions, the Debtors state the following:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§157 and 1334. The subject matter of this Motion is a core proceeding

¹ The Debtors in the chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number include: RMS Titanic, Inc. (3162); Premier Exhibitions, Inc. (4922); Premier Exhibitions Management, LLC (3101); Arts and Exhibitions International, LLC (3101); Premier Exhibitions International, LLC (5075); Premier Exhibitions NYC, Inc. (9246); Premier Merchandising, LLC (3867); and Dinosaurs Unearthed Corp. (7309). The Debtors' service address is 3045 Kingston Court, Suite I, Peachtree Corners, Georgia 30071.

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157(b). Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1408.

2. The statutory predicates for the relief requested by this Motion are Sections 1121(b), 1121(c)(2), 1121(c)(3), and 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code [11 U.S.C. §§1121(b), 1121(c)(2), 1121(c)(3), and 1121(d)]. Sections 1121(b) and (c) of the Bankruptcy Code prescribe the periods of exclusivity during which only the Debtors may file a plan of reorganization. Sections 1121(b) and 1121(c)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code provide that only the Debtors may file a plan for 120 days following the filing of the Chapter 11 petition. Section 1121(c)(3) extends that period to 180 days for acceptances to be obtained. Section 1121(d)(1) gives the Court authority for cause shown to increase the 120-day period or the 180-day period, subject to Section 1121(d)(2).

BACKGROUND

- 3. On June 14, 2016 (the "Petition Date"), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition in this Court for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The factual background regarding the Debtors, including their business operations, capital and debt structure, and the events leading to the filing of this bankruptcy case, are set forth in detail in the Chapter 11 Case Management Summary (the "Case Summary") [D.E. 8].
- 4. The Debtors continue to manage and operate their business as debtors in possession under Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

5. On August 24, 2016, the United States Trustee appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors and an Official Committee of Equity Security Holders [D.E. 166, 167].

RELIEF REQUESTED AND GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

- 6. But for the filing of this Motion, the 120-day and the 180-day periods of exclusivity prescribed in Section 1121 would expire on October 12, 2016, and December 11, 2016, respectively. By this Motion, the Debtors seek to extend both the 120-day period and the 180-day period in each of the cases.
- 7. Numerous grounds establish cause for increases in the periods of exclusivity. The legislative history of Section 1121 recognizes that the sheer size of a Chapter 11 case may constitute cause to extend exclusivity:

Proposed Chapter 11 recognizes the need for the debtor to remain in control to some degree, or else debtors will avoid the reorganization provisions in the bill until it would be too late for them to be an effective remedy. At the same time, the bill recognizes the legitimate interests of creditors, whose money is in the enterprise as much as the debtor's, to have a say in the future of the company. The bill gives the debtor an exclusive right to propose a plan for 120 days. In most cases, 120 days will give the debtor adequate time to negotiate a settlement without unduly delaying creditors. The court is given the power, though, to increase or reduce the 120-day period depending on the circumstances of the case. For example, if an unusually large company were to seek reorganization under chapter 11, the court would probably need to extend the time in order to allow the debtor to reach an agreement. If, on the other hand, a debtor delayed in arriving at an agreement, the court could shorten the period and permit creditors to formulate and propose a reorganization plan. Again, the bill allows the flexibility for individual cases that is unavailable today.

H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, 95th Cong., 2d Sess. 221-222 (1978) (citations omitted) (emphasis added).

- 8. Although "cause" is not defined in the Code, courts have developed and adopted the following nonexclusive list of factors to determine whether cause exists to extend the exclusivity period:
 - (a) the size and complexity of the case;
 - (b) the necessity for sufficient time to negotiate and prepare adequate information;
 - (c) the existence of good-faith progress toward reorganization; and
 - whether creditors will be prejudiced by the requested extension. See In re Friedman's, Inc., 336 B.R. 884, 888 (Bankr. S.D. Ga. 2005); In re Service Merch. Co., 256 B.R. 744, 751 (Bankr. M.D. Tenn.2000); In re Express One Intern., Inc., 194 B.R. 98, 100 (Bankr. E.D. Tex.1996); In re McLean Indus. Inc., 87 B.R. 830, 834 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.1987).
- 9. In evaluating these factors, the courts are given maximum flexibility to review the particular facts and circumstances presented in the cases before them. See In re Public Serv. Co., 88 B.R. 521, 534 (Bankr. D.N.H. 1988) ("[T]he legislative intent . . . is to promote maximum flexibility"); In re Hollinger Indus, Inc., 292 B.R. 639 (8th Cir. BAP 2003) (stating that not all factors "are relevant in every case" and the court has discretion to "decide which factors are relevant and give the appropriate weight to each").
- 10. An analysis of these factors in this case leads to the conclusion that cause clearly exists to extend exclusivity.

Size and Complexity of Case

- and complexity of a Chapter 11 case. See McLean, 87 B.R. at 834; In re Homestead Partners, 187 B.R. 706, 720 (Bankr. N.D.Ga. 1996) (recognizing the presence of complex legal issues as one of the bases for cause pursuant to Section 1121(d)). See also Gaines v. Perkins (In re Perkins), 71 B.R. 294, 297 (W.D. Tenn. 1987) (in a case involving 100 creditors holding approximately 225 claims aggregating \$10 million against the estate valued at \$13 million, the district court affirmed the bankruptcy court's enlargement of the exclusivity period and held that cause may exist if the case is unusually large).
- 14. As the Court is aware, the Debtors are attempting to sell certain French Artifacts to have sufficient capital to fund a chapter 11 plan of reorganization to pay all creditors in full. The Debtors should be provided sufficient time to propose their plan of Reorganization.

Good Faith Progress Toward Reorganization

- 18. The Debtors have made good faith progress in these Chapter 11 cases, including the following:
 - (a) The Debtors obtained approval of various first-day motions filed in this case and has taken necessary steps to implement the authorizations granted by such orders.
 - (b) Early in the case, the Debtors file a motion to sell the French Artifacts.

- (c) The Debtors have file an adversary proceeding against the Republic of France.
- (d) The Debtors haves complied with the reporting requirements of the United States Trustee, including the submission of initial and monthly reports.
- (e) The Debtors have prepared and filed voluminous and comprehensive statements of financial affairs and schedules of assets and liabilities consistent with the Bankruptcy Code and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.
- (f) The Debtors have worked extensively and cooperatively with the Creditors' Committee and Equity Committee and provided substantial documentation and information to the Committees in order to assist the Committees in fulfilling their statutory obligations.
- (g) The Debtors obtained court approval for the rejection of an unexpired leases of nonresidential real property in New York, New York.
- 19. Based upon the substantial progress demonstrated to date, the requested extension of exclusivity is fully justified on the particular facts of these cases.

Absence of Prejudice to Creditors and Parties in Interest

20. Under the circumstances of these cases, a premature termination of exclusivity would deny the Debtors a meaningful opportunity to negotiate and propose a confirmable plan and would be antithetical to the paramount objectives of Chapter 11. Termination of exclusivity at this point in time could have the undesirable effect of

encouraging the development of competing multiple plans that could lead to unwarranted confrontations, litigation, and administrative expenses.

- 21. Moreover, given the current posture of these case and unresolved issues, it would be premature and counterproductive for any nondebtor party in interest to initiate the plan proposal process. Instead, the requested extension will increase the likelihood of a consensual resolution of these cases that preserves value much more than would a plan filed at this time—or than would a creditor-initiated plan lacking the necessary information, foundation, and support.
- 22. The requested extension of exclusivity will not prejudice the legitimate interest of any creditor or other party in interest. To the contrary, the proposed extension will advance the Debtors' efforts to further the reconciliation process, obtain information and answers, preserve value, and avoid unnecessary and wasteful motion practice.
- 23. This Motion is not submitted for purposes of delay and will not prejudice any party. The Debtors have been in continual and regular discussions with the numerous constituencies in this case, most if not all of which are aware of the Debtors' progress. To the best of the knowledge of the Debtors and their professionals, none of the constituencies in these cases seek to propose a Chapter 11 plan apart from the ongoing process and the plan that the Debtors will formulate.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully requests that the Court (A) grant this Motion; (B) extend until January 10, 2017, the 120-day period prescribed in Section 1121 time during which the Debtors have the initial exclusive right to propose and file a

Chapter 11 plan, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions as appropriate; (C) upon the filing of a plan, further extend exclusivity until the entry of an order at the conclusion of the hearing on confirmation of the plan as filed; and (D) grant such other and further relief as is just and proper.

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

By /s/ Daniel F. Blanks

Daniel F. Blanks (FL Bar No. 88957)
Lee D. Wedekind, III (FL Bar No. 670588)
50 N. Laura Street, Suite 4100
Jacksonville, Florida 32202
(904) 665-3656 (direct)
(904) 665-3699 (fax)
daniel.blanks@nelsonmullins.com
lee.wedekind@nelsonmullins.com

Counsel for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF on September 30, 2016. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on the following counsel of record via transmission of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF:

David W. Baddley, Esq.
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of Reorganization
950 E. Paces Ferry Road, NE, Suite 900
Atlanta GA 30326
(404) 842-7625
atlreorg@sec.gov
Attorneys for U.S. Securities and
Exchange Commission

Scott E. Bomkamp, Esq.
Office of the United States Trustee
Middle District of Florida
400 W. Washington Street, Suite 1100
Orlando FL 32801
(407) 648-6301 ext. 150
scott.e.bomkamp@usdojgov
Attorneys for Guy G. Gebhardt,
Acting U.S. Trustee for Region 21

Ari Newman, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, P.A.
333 S.E. 2nd Avenue, Suite 4400
Miami, FL 33131
(305) 579-0500
newmanar@gtlaw.com
Attorneys for Lang Feng, Haiping Zou,
Jihe Zhang, and High Nature Holdings
Limited

Jay B. Verona, Esq.
Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, LLP
101 E. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 2800
Tampa, FL 33602
(813) 229-7600
jverona@slk-law.com
Attorneys for George F. Eyde
Orlando, LLC and Louis J. Eyde
Orlando, LLC

Scott M. Grossman, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig
401 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 2000
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
(954) 768-5212
grossmansm@gtlaw.com
Attorneys for Lang Feng, Haiping Zou,
Jihe Zhang, and High Nature Holdings
Limited

Jason B. Burnett, Esq.
Alexandria V. Hill, Esq.
GrayRobinson, P.A.
50 N. Laura Street, Suite 1100
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 598-9929
jason.burnett@gray-robinson.com
alexandria.hill@gray-robinson.com
Attorneys for 417 Fifth Avenue Real
Estate, LLC

Andrew T. Jenkins, Esq.
Bush Ross, P.A.
P.O. Box 3913
Tampa, FL 33601-3913
(813) 224-9255
ajenkins@bushross.com
Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A

Kathy A. Jorrie, Esq.
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 488-7251
Kathy.jorrie@pillsburylaw.com
Attorneys for AEG Live, Inc.

J. Ellsworth Summers, Jr., Esq. Burr Forman, LLP 50 N. Laura Street, Suite 3000 Jacksonville, FL 32202 (904) 232-7200 esummers@burr.com Attorneys for Michael J. Little

D. Marcus Braswell, Jr., Esq.
Sugarman & Susskind, P.A.
100 Miracle Mile, Suite 300
Coral Gables, FL 33134
(305) 529-2801
mbraswell@sugarmansusskind.com
Attorneys for Theatrical Protective Union,
Local No. One, IATSE

Theodore B. Randles, Esq.
U.S. Dept. of Justice
1100 L Street NW, Suite 10060
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 307-3242
Theodore.B.Randles@usdoj.gov
Attorneys for the United States Department of
Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration

Goldberg Segalla, LLP
222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 800
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(786) 814-4804
osanchez@goldbergsegalla.com
aburno@goldbergsegalla.com
mmartiez@goldbergsegalla.com
Attorneys for Structure Tone, Inc.

Oscar E. Sanchez, Esq.

Norman P. Fivel, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the New York State Attorney General
Civil Recoveries Bureau, Bankruptcy Litigation Unit
The Capitol
Albany, NY 12224-0341
(518) 776-2264
norman.fivel@ag.ny.gov
Attorneys for New York Dept. of Taxation
and Finance

Chris Broussard, Esq.
Suzy Tate, Esq.
Suzy Tate, P.A.
14502 N. Dale Mabry Highway, Suite 200
Tampa, FL 33618
(813) 264-1685
cbrouss@suzytate.com
suzy@suzytate.com
Attorneys for The Armada Group GP, Inc.

Richard R. Thames, Esq.
Thames Markey & Heekin, P.A.
50 N. Laura Street, Suite 1600
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 358-4000
rrt@tmhlaw.net
Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

Via U.S. Mail

A-1 Storage and Crane 2482 197th Avenue Manchester, IA 52057

A.N. Deringer, Inc. PO Box 11349 Succursale Centre-Ville Montreal, QC H3C 5H1

Broadway Video 30 Rockefeller Plaza 54th Floor New York, NY 10112

Dentons Canada LLP 250 Howe Street 20th Floor Vancouver, BC V6C 3R8

Expedia, Inc. 10190 Covington Cross Drive Las Vegas, NV 89144

Gowling Lafleuer Henderson 550-2300 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Kirvin Doak Communications 5230 W. Patrick Lane Las Vegas, NV 89118 ABC Imaging 1155 21st Street NW Suite M400 Washington, DC 20036

ATS, Inc. 1900 W. Anaheim Street Long Beach, CA 90813

CBS Outdoor/Outfront Media 185 US Highway 48 Fairfield, NJ 07004

Enterprise Rent-A-Car Canada 709 Miner Avenue Scarborough, ON M1B 6B6

George Young Company 509 Heron Drive Swedesboro, NJ 08085

Hoffen Global Ltd. 305 Crosstree Lane Atlanta, GA 30328

MNP LLP 15303 - 31st Avenue Suite 301 Surrey, BC V3Z 6X2 Morris Visitor Publications PO Box 1584 Augusta, GA 30903

National Geographic Society 1145 - 17th Avenue NW Washington, DC 20036

PacBridge Limited Partners 22/F Fung House 19-20 Connaught Road Central Hong Kong

Ramparts, Inc. d/b/a Luxor Hotel and Casino 3900 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, NV 89119

Seaventures, Ltd. 5603 Oxford Moor Blvd. Windemere, FL 34786

Syzygy3, Inc. 1350 6th Avenue 2nd Floor New York, NY 10019

TPL 3340 Peachtree Road Suite 2140 Atlanta, GA 30326

Verifone, Inc. 300 S. Park Place Blvd. Clearwater, FL 33759

WNBC - NBC Universal Media 30 Rockefeller Center New York, NY 10112 NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC 805 King Farm Blvd. Rockville, MD 20850

NYC Dept. of Finance PO Box 3646 New York, NY 10008

Pallet Rack Surplus, Inc. 1981 Old Covington Cross Road NE Conyers, GA 30013

Screen Actors Guild 1900 Broadway 5th Floor New York, NY 10023

Sophrintendenza Archeologica di Napoli e Pompei Piazza Museo 19 Naples, Italy 80135

Time Out New York 475 Tenth Avenue 12th Floor New York, NY 10018

TSX Operating Co. 70 West 40th Street 9th Floor New York, NY 10018

Sam Weiser 565 Willow Road Winnetka, IL 60093

United States Attorney's Office Middle District of Florida 300 N. Hogan Street, Suite 700 Jacksonville, FL 32202 Jonathan B. Ross, Esq. Gowling WLG (Canada) LLP 550 Burrard Street, Suite 2300, Bentall 5 Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

TSX Operating Co., LLC c/o James Sanna 70 W. 40th Street New York, NY 10018 *Creditor Committee*

B.E. Capital Management Fund LP Thomas Branziel 205 East 42nd Street, 14th Floor New York, NY 10017 Creditor Committee Christine R. Etheridge, Esq.
Bankruptcy Administration
Wells Fargo Vendor Financial Services, LLC
PO Box 13708
Macon, GA 31208

Dallian Hoffen Biotechnique Co., Ltd. c/o Ezra B. Jones 305 Crosstree Lane Atlanta, GA 30328 Creditor Committee

/s/ Daniel F. Blanks
Attorney

~#4820-5514-1688 v.1 ~